UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,747	05/10/2006	Tomokazu Muraguchi	10517/331	4485
23838 KENYON & K	7590 12/28/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KLASTERKA, AUDREY ELLEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,747	MURAGUCHI, TOMOKAZU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	AUDREY KLASTERKA	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ The substitution of the substitution	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 5-6, 8-9, and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/578,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to Request for Continued Examination received 18

November 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-6, 8-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirooka et al. (Japanese Patent 2003/314263 A using machine translation; hereinafter Hirooka) in view of Bone et al. (US Patent 5,319,928; hereinafter Bone).
- 3. As to claims 5 and 6, Hirooka discloses a secondary air supply apparatus [1] for an internal combustion engine [2] installed in a vehicle (paragraph 0014, 0026, and Figure 1), which supplies secondary air to a portion upstream of an exhaust gas control device [41a, 41b] (paragraphs 0016-0017 and Figure 1), the apparatus [1] comprising: a pressure detector [14] that performs detection of a pressure of secondary air delivered under pressure from an air pump [13] to a passage [10] connecting to an exhaust gas passage [40a, 40b] (paragraphs 0017, 0020, 0023, 0027, and Figure 1); and a failure determining device [5] that determines whether failure has occurred in the secondary air supply apparatus [1] based on a result of

Art Unit: 3748

the detection that is performed by the pressure detector [14] while the air pump [15] is controlled so as to be operated (paragraphs 0020, 0023, and 0027), wherein the internal combustion engine [2] is provided with plural cylinders [20], and wherein the secondary air supply apparatus [1] includes i) a first air passage [10] through which the air delivered under pressure from the air pump [13] flows, ii) a first opening/closing valve [15] which opens/closes the first air passage [10], iii) a second air passage [11a] which is connected to the first air passage [10] at a portion downstream of the first opening/closing valve [15], and which is connected to an exhaust passage [40a] leading to a predetermined cylinder (left cylinder) among the plural cylinders [20], iv) a second opening/closing valve [16a] which opens/closes the second air passage [11a], v) a third air passage [11b] which is connected to the first air passage [10] at a portion downstream of the first opening/closing valve [15], and which is connected to an exhaust passage [40b] leading to a cylinder (right cylinder) different from the predetermined cylinder (left cylinder) to which the exhaust passage connected to the second air passage [11a] leads, and vi) a third opening/closing valve [16b] which opens/closes the third air passage [11b] (paragraphs 0014-0017 and Figure 1); and wherein the failure determining device [5] determines whether failure has occurred in the secondary air supply apparatus [1] based on a result of the detection that is performed by the pressure detector [14] while the first opening/closing valve [15], the second opening/closing valve [16a], and the third opening/closing valve [16b] are controlled so as to be closed (paragraph 0017, 0020, 0023, and 0027; wherein as

Application/Control Number: 10/578,747

Art Unit: 3748

first opening/closing valve [15] is closed, second and third opening/closing valves [16a, 16b] would be closed as the upstream pressure would not be high enough to push open the check valves [16a, 16b] because the pump air supply is disconnected by closing the first opening/closing valve [15]). Hirooka does not disclose operating the pump when a magnitude of noise is larger than a predetermined value. Bone, however, teaches a method and arrangement for controlling the operation of a secondary air pump [12] (Title), wherein an information obtaining device [6] that obtains information (from engine speed sensor) relating to noise heard wherein the information relating to the noise includes at least one of a vehicle speed (col. 2 lines 60-63; wherein it is inherent that the speed of the engine creates noise), a rotational speed of the internal combustion engine, and an opening amount of a throttle valve provided in the internal combustion engine; and a pump operating device [6] that operates the air pump [12] when a magnitude of the noise [n] is larger than a predetermined value [nev] (col. 3 lines 37-50 and Figures 2-3). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to operate Hirooka's secondary air pump [13] when a magnitude of engine speed [n] is larger than a predetermined value [nev] because Bone teaches that this ensures that the noise associated with the operation of the secondary air pump only starts when the engine is running and that no additional load on the current supply takes place during the start of the engine for a secondary air pump which is driven electrically (col. 3 lines 32-37).

Page 4

4. As to claim 8, the modified Hirooka discloses the secondary air supply apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the failure determining device [5]

Art Unit: 3748

determines that failure has occurred in the secondary air supply apparatus [1] when an increase in the pressure is not detected by the pressure detector [14] (paragraphs 0020 and 0027).

- 5. As to claim 9, the modified Hirooka discloses the secondary air supply apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the failure determining device [5] determines whether failure has occurred in at least one of the pressure detector [14] and the air pump [13] (paragraph 0020).
- 6. As to claim 11, the apparatus of claim 5 would inherently perform the method of claim 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-4 and 10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 18 November 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to claims 5 and 11, the applicant argued on page 11 of the Remarks that Hirooka does not disclose the failure determining being performed while the first, second, and third opening/closing valve are *controlled* to be closed (e.g. by an electronic control unit). However, the claims do not actively recite that the first, second, and third valves must be controlled by an electronic control unit. The applicant further argues that the valves simply open and close as a function of the pressure on either side of the valves. Hirooka discloses the ECU [5] controlling operation of the first

Application/Control Number: 10/578,747

Art Unit: 3748

opening/closing valve [15] via electromagnetic switching valve [19] (paragraph 0019) and further discloses that the second [16a] and third [16b] opening/closing valves are check valves which open when the upstream pressure is respectively high (paragraph 0017). Therefore, the second [16a] and third [16b] opening/closing valves are controlled by the operation of the first opening/closing valve [15] which controls the pressure upstream of the second [16a] and third [16b] opening/closing valves by either allowing or prohibiting pressure from the pump [13] to reach the second [16a] and third [16b] opening/closing valves. Therefore, as operation of the first opening/closing valve [15] is controlled to be closed during the failure detection (paragraph 0027), it is inherent that the second [16a] and third [16b] opening/closing valves would be closed as well, as they would not experience any further pressure upstream, thus not experiencing the respectively high pressure they require to open. As the claims do not actively recite that an electronic control unit must perform the valve control, pressure control of the valves [16a, 16b] is not excluded by the claims. Furthermore, the valves [16a, 16b] are controlled to be closed by their reed design. Applicant further argued on pages 11-12 that failure would not simply indicate that either the pump or pressure sensor has failed. rather the failure of pressure may be due to failure in the first valve. However, the claims do not exclude failure of Hirooka's first valve [15] from being detected. The claims only require that failure be detected in the secondary air supply apparatus [1] as a whole. Claim 9 further includes that failure in one of the pressure detector [14] and the air pump [13] be detected, which Hirooka discloses as Hirooka's device can detect

Page 6

failure in the air pump [13], as well as the first, second, and third opening/closing valves [15, 16a, 16b].

Conclusion

9. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/578,747. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AUDREY KLASTERKA whose telephone number is (571) 270-5286. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,747

Art Unit: 3748

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas E. Denion/ /A. K./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3748 Examiner, Art Unit 3748